











Inland Navigation

EU Policy Response to Structural Changes

Dimitrios Theologitis
Head of Unit "Ports and Inland Navigation"
European Commission, DG Mobility and Transport (DG MOVE)
3 June 2014



Structural changes

Accelerated since 1956/1964

- Exploitation of natural/mineral resources
- Industrial production patterns
- Competition from road and rail
- Internal restructuring of sector
- EU response medium/long term
- Not only Mosel affected





Naiades 60 Ancient Water Nymphs

Crineaenae (Fountains)
Eleionomae (Marshes)
Limnades (Lakes)
Pegaeae (Springs)
Potameides (Rivers)



Mario Rutelli



NAIADES II

Package adopted by the Commission on 10 September 2013 comprising:

- The NAIADES II Communication
- Accompanying staff working document on "Greening the fleet"
- Proposal for a Directive on technical requirements for inland waterway vessels repealing Directive 2006/87
- Proposal for amendment to Council Regulation (EC)
 No 718/1999 on a Community-fleet capacity policy to promote inland waterway transport



NAIADES II (2014 - 2020)

"Towards quality inland waterway transport "

- Quality infrastructure
- Quality through innovation
- Smooth functioning of the market
- Environmental quality through low emissions
- Skilled workforce and quality jobs
- Integration of inland waterway transport into the multimodal logistic chain
- Quality of Governance

NAIADES Implementation Matrix PLATINA II



Quality of Infrastructur Legend BALTIC - ADRIATIC NORTH SEA - BALTIC MEDITERRANEAN ORIENT / EAST-MED SCANDINAVIAN - MEDITERRANEAN RHINE - ALPINE ATLANTIC dynia/Gdansk NORTH SEA - MEDITERRANEAN RHINE - DANUBE CORE PORT OR CLUSTER OF CORE PORTS OF THE TRANS-EUROPEAN **NETWORK** Nantes Saint-Nazaire Constanța Porto (1) ① ② Baxcelona Valletta' Marsailokk (D Law Palesse, Santa Cruz **TENtec**



The Mosel "TEN-T Paradox"

In TEN-T core (Class Vb) but not on a corridor!

- Corridor analysis includes Mosel (and Neckar)
- Works eligible even though not in CEF Annex
- Works already funded
- Role of Mosel Commission



Quality Infrastructure

- €26bn in CEF for transport
- Corridor Implementation already in full swing
- Guidance, "project pipeline" also for inland ports and terminals
- Definition of "good navigability status"
- Good practice manual on waterway maintenance



Quality through Innovation

- Horizon2020 funding (€8bn)
- Roadmap for Innovation

Infrastructure

Environment

Logistics

Fleet

Jobs & Skills



Smooth functioning of the market

- Revision of fleet capacity regulation
 Use of Reserve Fund
- (also as) Response to "crisis"
 Market observation, costs and transparency, cooperatives, revision of market functioning, transitional provisions
- Review technical requirements/unique standards ("CESNI" Committee)



Environmental performance

- Sector needs to catch up Pollutants!
- Adapt NRMM directive
 Non-Road Mobile Machinery (new engines)
- New propulsion methods
 Technical solutions, alternative fuels, LNG
- Smart steaming
 Smart waterway operations
- Funding!



Quality jobs and training

- New legal framework for modernisation and harmonisation of professional qualifications
 - Facilitate entry into sector
 - Entrepreneurial skills
 - Standards for simulators
 - Electronic service record book and logbook
 - Facilitate enforcement
- Proposal in 2015



Integration in logistics chains

- Safety → Operations → Logistics
- RIS Policy Review

Conclude evaluation, report, modify framework directive, operation of essential services (databases)

Open defined datasets to operators
Integrate with other modes in **e-Freight**

Funding of actions

Inland Ports Platform, Logistics Advisory Network, conferences (e.g. Barge-2-Business)



Quality of Governance

- Too many administrations and organisations at European level are dealing with the same subjects in inland waterway transport
- Simplification and strengthening of the organisational framework
- Improvement of cooperation with the international river commissions



21st Century Governance

- Beyond "competences"
- Into real substance
- Every one according to their competences
- First domain of application:

Technical standards

(in the wider sense)

- Why different standards?
- Involving all River Commissions

And the UN-ECE

A Pan-European technical committee (CESNI)



Committee for Technical Standards

- Vessel and personnel standards
 For the moment
- Composed of all European States
- Hosted by CCNR
 With EU financial support
- Elaborates the standards at expert level
- River Commissions adopt according to their procedures
- Also EU adopts by means of delegated acts
- Standards culminate at UN-ECE continental level



Committee for Danube navigability

- Danube infrastructure!
- Serious navigability issues

Maintenance

New works

- "Lost clients" difficult to gain back
- Implementation of "Luxembourg Resolution"
- EU commitment to the Danube

Danube Strategy

TEN-T

In the future?



Role of the Mosel Commission

- Particularity: All 3 members are EU MS
- Focus on navigability issues

Maintenance

New works

- Coordination
- Best practice for other EU Member States
- Participation in all other European commissions



Advantages of Common Standards

(not only technical)

- A continent-wide Single Space for Inland Waterway Transport
- Interoperability
- Equal opportunities
- Flexibility
- Less administrative burden

Experts overloaded

Growth!



NAIADES II Implementation

- Europe needs to deliver
- All actors need to act to deliver NAIADES II

NAIADES Implementation Matrix

Independently of the type of actor

EU

River Commissions

Member States

Stakeholders

Private sector



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Dimitrios Theologitis

Dimitrios. Theologitis@ec.europa.eu

Marc Vanderhaegen

Marc.Vanderhaegen@ec.europa.eu

NAIADES II

http://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/inland/promotion/naiades2_en.htm

